UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,295	04/27/2004	David Armes	03292.101980	3294
66569 7590 01/08/2008 FITZPATRICK CELLA (AMEX) 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		EXAMINER LY, CHEYNE D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

MN

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/709,295 ARMES ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	•	Cheyne D. Ly	2168		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 October 2007.				
2a)□	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	· · ·			
10) 🔲 ·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the output of the cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner is objected to be a considered in the Examiner is objected to be a considered in the Examiner is objected	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
Attachment	(s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 8/30/07, 10/19/07.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

10/709,295

Art Unit: 2168

DETAILED ACTION

- A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 19, 2007 has been entered.
- 2. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.
- 3. Claims 1-26 are examined on the merits.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

4. On pages 8-9, Applicant argues Chu fails to describes the claimed invention as directed to the limitation of "reformat, validate, and enrich file content using enterprise logic." Applicant's argument is not persuasive. Chu discloses a validation step (page 8, [0106]-[0107], especially, "If valid data is read..."). Further, one of ordinary skill in the art would readily comprehend that "file compression" as cited in Chu as a type of file transformation that is configured to "reformat." For example, the Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary 3rd edition defines file compression as data can be compressed by removing repeated patterns of bits and replacing them with some form of summary that

Art Unit: 2168

takes up less space. Further, the specification does not explicitly define the limitation of "enriching file content", but merely provides an exemplary disclosure for the optional limitation (paragraph [0024]). The ordinary and customary meaning for the limitation of "enrich" is "make better or improve in quality." Therefore, the disclosure of Chu for file compression reasonably describes "enriching file content" because the file compression makes better or improve quality of the content by replacing data with some form of summary that takes up less space as supported by the Microsoft dictionary.

5. On pages 9-10, Applicant argues that Chu fails to describe the reformatting and validating of file content. Applicant's argument is not persuasive because Chu describes the argued limitation as discussed above.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chu et al. (2002/0049853 A1) (Chu hereafter).

CLAIM INTERPRETATIONS

8. The limitation of "agent" has been attributed with the customary and ordinary meaning of "a process that mediates between the client and the server" in client/server applications (Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary, 3rd edition, page 16).

Page 4

PRIOR ART

9. In regard to claim 1, Chu discloses a system to facilitate movement of electronic files comprising:

A file transfer services component configured to at least one of transmit and receive a file request, said file transfer services component configured to communicate with a centralized file management hub (page 1, [0009], especially, "transferring a file...from the DAD server...");

A file transformation component configured to reformat, validate and enrich file content using enterprise logic (page 3, [0054], especially, "file compression, archiving,...encryption...", page 8, [0106]-[0107], especially, "If valid data is read..." and [0108]);

A centralized file management hub configured to communicate with a messaging infrastructure (page 1, [0014], especially, "a digital asset distribution (DAD) server..."); and,

A messaging infrastructure component configured to facilitate workflow management of said files through said system (page 1, [0011], especially, "issuing first instructions...").

10. In regard to claim 2, Chu discloses said centralized file management hub includes:

A file tracking and monitoring component configured to provide end-to-end tracking of a file (page 1, [0013], especially, "a tracking component...", and page 3, [0052], especially, "monitor...") and role based multilayered tracking information of a file (page

6, [0083], especially, "multiple user levels...administrator and sub-users");

10/709,295

Art Unit: 2168

A parameter and configuration management component configured to provide a gateway to at least one of store and retrieve configuration information (page 4, [0061], especially, "...server site URL provides the universal uniqueness to the PID...assist the server-to-server transfer...download records may be added into the database"; and,

A file routing and workflow component configured to route a file through a network and provide routing information to file transfer nodes to facilitate at least one of routing and scheduling of a file transfer (page 4, [0062]-[0063], especially, "address book...Sender File Names (24) and Location (25)...").

- 11. In regard to claim 3, Chu discloses the centralized file management hub further includes a parameter and configuration management component, wherein said parameter and configuration management component includes...file transfer routing information....(page 4, [0062]-[0063], especially, "address book...Sender File Names (24) and Location (25)...").
- 12. In regard to claim 4, Chu discloses display tracking information (page 4, [0064], especially, "interface...Tracking (TRACE)").
- 13. In regard to claim 5, Chu discloses a manager interface configured to at least one of receive configuration information and display tracking information (page 4, [0064], especially, "interface...Tracking (TRACE)"), wherein said configuration information includes at least one of client authentication....(page 3, [0051], especially, "sender input a unique username and password...")...and wherein said tracking information includes a workflow task outcome (page 4, [0064] to page 6, [0084]), Figure 3 in its entirety exemplifies a workflow task outcome).

10/709,295

Art Unit: 2168

- 14. In regard to claim 6, Chu discloses the file transfer services component includes:

 One file transfer agent (Figure 5 wherein the server components have been interpreted as "agents" as defined by the Microsoft dictionary);
 - A file transfer application server...(Figure 5, especially, "Server" and "Remote Server"); User interface...(page 4, [0064]).
- 15. In regard to claims 7 and 8, Chu discloses a user interface...(page 4, [0064]-[0065], especially, "Client Browser screen components...send client software embedded in HTML...").
- 16. In regard to claims 9 and 10, Chu discloses a file transformation component is further configured to apply a transformation map to said file (page 7, [0097], especially, "sender has the option to cancel a lengthy upload and resume upload at a later time...the program will resume uploading portion of the file and so indicate in the restart offset..." and page 8, [0114], especially, "support partial file transfer...receive command requesting..."). The disclosure cited above is consistent the exemplary description of "a transformation map" in the instant specification (paragraph [0025]).
- 17. In regard to claim 11, Chu discloses one file transfer agent is further configured to notify said central file management hub of workflow events (page 7, [0103], especially, "delivery of the download notification to the primary DAD server").
- 18. In regard to claims 12-26, Chu discloses a method (claim 1) implemented in the above-cited system. Further, Chu discloses a validation step (page 8, [0106]-[0107], especially, "If valid data is read..."). Specific to claims 22 and 23, the limitation of "business rules..." has been interpreted reasonably broad because the instant specification does not

Art Unit: 2168

explicitly define said limitation. The invention described by Chu is directed to end-toend secure file transfer method and system as directed to "reports, articles,
advertisements,...spreadsheets (page 1, [0005]). The cited method and system have been
interpreted as being directed to business application; therefore, would achieve the same
expected resulted as the claimed method in regard to the limitation of "business rules."

CONCLUSION

- 19. Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.
- 20. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199. The USPTO's official fax number is 571-272-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/709,295

Art Unit: 2168

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Dune Ly, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0716.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

Page 8

22. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo, can be reached on (571)272-3642.

/Cheyne D Ly/
C. Dune Ly
Primary Examiner
05/01/2008